## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## **CIVIL MINUTES-GENERAL**

Case No. CV <u>12-9601-WDK (PLAx)</u>		Date <u>April 8, 2014</u>
Title: J and J Sports Productions, Inc.	v. Maria Delaluz Aguilar	
PRESENT: THE HONORABLE PAUL L	ABRAMS	☐ U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE
		■ MAGISTRATE JUDGE
Christianna Howard Deputy Clerk	N/A Court Reporter / Recorder	
ATTORNEYS PRESENT FOR PLAINTIFFS: NONE		ESENT FOR DEFENDANTS: NONE
PROCEEDINGS: (ORDER TO SH	OW CAUSE)	
By Order dated May 7, 2013, plaintiff was of Director to schedule a mediation of this action. To date, there is no indication in the record mediation occurred. Instead, on March 10, 2 plaintiff is ordered to show cause, no later to the District Judge that the motion for s and for failure to comply with this Court's not be imposed on plaintiff for failure to comply with this Court's not be imposed on plaintiff for failure to comply with this Court's not be imposed on plaintiff for failure to comply with this Court's not be imposed on plaintiff for failure to comply with this Court's not be imposed on plaintiff for failure to comply with this Court's not be imposed on plaintiff for failure to comply with this Court's not be imposed on plaintiff for failure to comply with this Court's not be imposed on plaintiff for failure to comply with this Court's not be imposed on plaintiff for failure to comply with this Court's not be imposed on plaintiff for failure to comply with this Court's not be imposed on plaintiff for failure to comply with this Court's not be imposed on plaintiff for failure to comply with this Court's not be imposed on plaintiff for failure to comply with this Court's not be imposed on plaintiff for failure to comply with this Court's not be imposed on plaintiff for failure to comply with this Court's not be imposed on plaintiff for failure to comply with this Court's not be imposed on plaintiff for failure to comply with this Court's not be imposed on plaintiff for failure to comply with this Court's not be imposed on plaintiff for failure to comply with this Court's not be imposed on plaintiff for failure to comply with this Court's not be imposed on plaintiff for failure to comply with this Court's not be imposed on plaintiff for failure to comply with this Court's not be imposed on plaintiff for failure to comply with this Court's not be imposed on plaintiff for failure to comply with this court's not be imposed on plaintiff for failure to comply with this Court's not be imposed on plaintiff f	that plaintiff timely contacted the A (014, plaintiff filed a motion for sum than April 14, 2014: (1) why the (1) why the (2) why the (3) May 7, 2013, Order, and (2) wh	no later than February 6, 2014. ADR Program Director, or that mary judgment. Accordingly, Court should not recommend hout prejudice as premature y monetary sanctions should
Counsel of record		Initials of Deputy Clerk ch

CV-90 (10/98)